# Sjournals

Agricultural Advances (2015) 4(2) 15-21 ISSN 2251-7820 doi: 10.14196/aa.v4i2.1804



## Original article

# Optimization of zooplankton production from pig dung optimal dose: renewed medium

# H.H. Akodogbo<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, C.A. Bonou<sup>b</sup>, R. Adandé<sup>a</sup>, D.S. Sossou<sup>a</sup>, E.D. Fiogbé<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Research Laboratory on Wetlands (LRZH), Department of Zoology, Faculty of Sciences and Technics, University of Abomey-Calavi (UAC), B.P. 526 Cotonou, Benin.

<sup>b</sup>Research Laboratory in Applied Biology (LARBA), Polytechnic School of Abomey-Calavi, University of Abomey-Calavi (UAC), B.P. 526 Cotonou, Benin.

\*Corresponding author; Research Laboratory on Wetlands (LRZH), Department of Zoology, Faculty of Sciences and Technics, University of Abomey-Calavi (UAC), B.P. 526 Cotonou, Benin.

#### ARTICLEINFO

ABSTRACT

Article history, Received 01 February 2015 Accepted 22 February 2015 Available online 27 February 2015

Keywords, Fertilization Optimization Pig dung Renewed medium Zooplankton

Present study was realized in the aim to optimize of a renewed medium for the production of zooplankton with pig dung. In fact, the experiment was carried out in triplicate in plastic buckets, grouped together by three treatments ( $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_3$ ) which were fertilized and a control (T<sub>0</sub>) during 27 days. The buckets were seeded with zooplankton with an initial density of 37 individual/I (Day<sub>0</sub>). From D<sub>12</sub>, those of T<sub>2</sub> and  $T_3$  have sustained a partial and periodical renewal (50%) in the production medium. The treatment T<sub>3</sub> was, in addition, fertilized periodically. The zooplankton density evolution is followed up through a sampling, every three days. The results have shown that the renewal followed with a periodical fertilization improved the zooplankton production (p < 0.05) with rotifers predominance. Thus the treatment T<sub>3</sub> media offered the best zooplanktonic average density (631 ± 440 ind/l) as compared to the treatment T<sub>2</sub> (392 ± 253 ind/l). That renewal prevents the pollution of and the congestion of production medium. The adoption of this production technique of zooplankton permitted to get small sized live prey in mass which could be maintained during the period of larval rearing for the aquaculture hatcheries.

© 2015 Sjournals. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

The bottleneck of most of the fresh water pisculturists, in developing countries, is the obtaining of sufficient fingerling, key for the aquaculture, by reason of their elevated death rate at the early step of life. Their production efficiency is hindered by the larvae feeding (Arimoro, 2006). Yet, the most effective aliment for the fish larvae, occurrence of catfish larvae, is the zooplankton (Legendre et al., 1992). Artemia are the organisms which mostly used as a live prey feed (Awaïss, 1992). But utilization of these cysts, mostly in rural tropical area is difficult because of constraining hatching conditions, its high cost and unavailability on the local market, this increase the cost of fish production (Kestemont and Awaiss, 1989; Agadjihouèdé et al., 2012). So, the utilization of other live prey with a high potential of production, is an alternative. Then the intensive production of local planktonic organisms for the development of aquaculture is primordial.

Otherwise, techniques including renewal and periodical harvesting of the zooplankton population allow the mass production of the local zooplankton for the hatcheries (Arimoro, 2005). These productions are constraining, as for the choice of planktonic species cultivated as these culture are often monospecific. Likewise, the monospecific culture has shown their limit with the presence of unwanted organisms (Saint-Jean et al., 1994; Awaïss and Kestemont, 1997). It is therefore essential to develop a plurispecific production and mass of zooplankton which is less constraining with animal dejections which are accessible and available to pisculturists. In contrast to the monospecific culture with animal dejections, plurispecific culture of the fresh water zooplankton with animal dejections including the pig dung, has not reached a significant development. However, the dynamic of the zooplankton population produced with pig dung and the optimal dose of these dejections for a plurispecific production of the zooplankton are known. In fact, such a rearing media is well know an increase rate of short period followed by the fall in production with the optimal dose of 600 g/m<sup>3</sup> of dry pig dung (Akodogbo et al., 2014a, 2014b). Some problems subsist as for the obtainment of mass and durable plurispecific production.

This study was aimed at the optimization of mass plurispecific production of local zooplankton with pig dung, through the dynamic of production centered on the renewal of medium. It will favor the decrease of fish larvae production costs and allow the rural pisciculturists to ensure a mass production of the local live food (zooplankton) and a good fish larvae development without rupture food during the period of larval rearing.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Experimental design

The experimental device was constituted of twelve (12) plastic buckets with 80 liters capacity, disposed in free air, at wetlands research station, University of Abomey-Calavi, Benin. These buckets were grouped into three triplicates of three treatments ( $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_3$ ) and a control ( $T_0$ ). The buckets of treatment  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  were fertilized once whereas those of  $T_3$  were periodically fertilized in addition to the initial dose during the complete study periods. Moreover, the production medium of treatment  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  were partially renewed periodically. Before putting the water in the buckets, these latter have been washed with bleaching water and dried for 24 hours. The following day, they have received 40 liters of drilling water. Immediately after this, buckets of the treatments  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  were fertilized by dry pig dung with a dose of 600 g/m<sup>3</sup> (Akodogbo et al., 2014b). Three (03) days after the fertilization, all the buckets were seeded with phytoplankton with 10 liters of pond water green enough filtered on a silk of 50 µm. Three days later ( $D_0$ ), sufficient period to allow the growing of phytoplankton (Guiral et al., 1994), some zooplankton harvested in a pond, with a plankton net of 50 µm has been seeded in each bucket with an initial density of 37 ind/I (7 ind/I of rotifers; 28 ind/I of copepods and 2 ind/I of cladocerans). From  $D_{12}$ , the treatment  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  buckets were renewed at 50% with pond water filtered through a silk of 50 µm every three days (Saint-Jean et al., 1994). The fertilization has been renewed with the one third (1/3) of the initial dose at each three days interval in the treatments  $T_3$  buckets (Saint-Jean et al., 2010).

### 2.2. Zooplankton production follow-up

16

Zooplankton was sampled from the  $D_7$ , every three days (Kabir et al., 2010), until the 27<sup>th</sup> production days. In each bucket, 5 liters water sample were taken and then filtered through a silk of 50 µm for the zooplankton harvest; this filtrate was fixed with 5% formaldehyde. Some under-samples of this harvest were taken with an Eppendorf pipette (capacity: 1000 ml) and observed under a light microscope (PIERRON S/N S 294452 X 4). The present zooplankton organisms were enumerated to evaluate the densities (D) of the different zooplankton groups. The daily production (P), the intrinsic increase rate (Kr) and the doubling time (Td) of the zooplankton population were calculated from the following formula:

 $- D = (N/V_1) \times (V_2/V_3);$ 

 $-P = (N_t - N_0)/t;$ 

 $- Kr = (In N_t - In N_0)/t;$ 

- Td = In<sub>2</sub>/Kr; (James et al., 1986).

Whereas, N = number of individuals counted in an under-sample;  $V_1$  = observed volume (under-sample);  $V_2$  = concentration volume;  $V_3$  = filtered water volume;  $N_t$  = final number per liter;  $N_0$  = initial number per liter and t = production time.

#### 2.3. Measurement of physico-chemical and trophic parameters

The physical and chemical parameters of the culture medium (temperature, pH, conductivity and dissolved oxygen) were *in situ* measured. Diverse chemical analyses of the water in each bucket were then carried out with 500 ml of water sample were collected in plastic bottles. Then, the ammonium, the nitrates, the nitrites and the phosphates were respectively measured by the Nessler-380 methods, to Cadmium-335 reduction, to Diazotation-371 and to Phosver 3-490 with the spectrophotometer HACH.

Similarly, 500 ml of water sample has been drawn from each bucket into other plastic bottles (0.5 l of capacity), has allowed appreciating the phytoplankton quantity through the measure of the chlorophyll *a* (trophic parameter). Each bottle was packed inside aluminium paper to prevent sample photosensitivity. The chlorophyll a measurement has been achieved by spectrophotometer according to Pechar (1987) method.

#### 2.4. Statistical analyses

The statistical analysis of obtained results was performed with statistic logical SAS version 9.2 by analysis of variance method with one classification criteria (ANOVA I) (Dagnelie, 1984). The LSD (Least Significant Difference) of Fisher (Saville, 1990) was used to compare the different average.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Physico-chemical and trophic parameters

Table 1 summarizes the physico-chemical and trophic mean values of different treatments. According to Table 1, the mean temperature of water in buckets was around  $31.07 \pm 0.8^{\circ}$ C. The pH mean values were around  $6.05 \pm 0.51$  and slightly fluctuated. The conductivity and average concentrations of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3<sup>-</sup></sup> were higher in the treatment T<sub>3</sub> buckets (periodical renewal and fertilization). The variance of analysis with one classification criteria (ANOVA I) applied to the different parameters and result revealed significant differences of conductivity, ammonium, nitrates and phosphates rates between the different treatments (p < 0.05). But the difference was not significant for the temperature, the pH and the nitrites between these treatments (p > 0.05).

Like other parameters, average chlorophyll a concentration (Table 1) was higher for the treatment  $T_3$  buckets. The variance of analysis with one classification criteria (ANOVA I) applied to the different value of chlorophyll *a* concentration revealed significant differences between these treatments (p < 0.05). The evolution of the chlorophyll *a* concentration during the experimentation has shown that the culture medium of treatment  $T_2$ ,  $T_1$  and  $T_3$  have reached their peak on  $12^{\text{th}}$ ,  $15^{\text{th}}$  and  $21^{\text{st}}$  production days (Figure 1).

#### 3.2. Variation of zooplankton densities

The analysis of Figure 2 showed that average zooplanktonic densities during the experimentation, were higher for the treatment  $T_3$  medium (631 ± 440 ind/l), it was followed by the ones of  $T_2$  (392 ± 253 ind/l). The variance of analysis with one classification criteria (ANOVA I) revealed a significant difference between total average zooplankton densities in the different treatments (p < 0.05).

17

Ta	Ы		1
Id		le	-

The Physico-chemical characteristics and chlorophyll *a* concentration of different treatments

	то	T1	T2	T3	
Température (°C)	31.10 ± 0.66°	31.21 ± 0.59 °	30.55 ± 1.16 °	31.41 ± 0.77 <sup>a</sup>	
pH	6.18 ± 0.41 <sup>a</sup>	6.16 ± 0.33 <sup>a</sup>	5.93 ± 0.63 <sup>a</sup>	5.95 ± 0.66 <sup>a</sup>	
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	5.36 ± 0.20 <sup>a</sup>	5.57 ± 0.31 <sup>b</sup>	5.55 ± 0.49 <sup>c</sup>	5.88 ± 0.54 <sup>d</sup>	
Conductivity (µS/cm)	71.90 ± 4.01 <sup>ª</sup>	127 ±7.03 <sup>b</sup>	126.72 ± 22.35 <sup>b</sup>	135.81 ± <mark>24</mark> .29 <sup>°</sup>	
NH4+ (mg/l)	0.13 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	$0.44 \pm 0.27^{b}$	0.42 ± 0.27 <sup>b</sup>	0.67 ± 0 <mark>.8</mark> 8 <sup>c</sup>	
N02- (mg/l)	0.007 ± 0.003 <sup>a</sup>	0.010 ± 0.005 °	$0.010 \pm 0.004^{a}$	0.011 ± 0.006 <sup>a</sup>	
N03- (mg/l)	4.99 ± 1.38 °	6.46 ± 4.19 <sup>b</sup>	7.97 ± 2.06 <sup>c</sup>	10.73 ± 3.11 <sup>d</sup>	
P04 3- (mg/l)	1.23 ± 0.6 °	7.94 ± 1.67 <sup>b</sup>	4.70 ± 3.82 <sup>c</sup>	8.12 ± 4 <mark>.</mark> 39 <sup>d</sup>	
Chlorophyll a (µg/l)	95.02 ± 57.83 <sup>a</sup>	272.95 ± 157.73 <sup>b</sup>	235.11 ± 106.05 <sup>c</sup>	336.51 ± 119.52 <sup>d</sup>	

The values affected with the same letter in exponent on the same line were not significant different (p > 0.05).



Fig.1. Evolution of chlorophyll a concentration of different treatments in function of time.



Fig.2. Zooplankton total densities by treatment.

Results presented in Figure 3 revealed the evolution of the total zooplankton densities with the time. The average zooplankton densities of fertilized medium increased progressively from  $D_0$  to  $D_{12}$ . After  $D_{12}$ , they increased rapidly in treatment  $T_3$  with a peak (1207 ind/l) at  $D_{21}$ , and slightly in  $T_2$  with a peak (690 ind/l) at  $D_{21}$ . After the peaks,  $T_3$  decreased slightly whereas  $T_2$  fall till the end of the experiment, at  $D_{27}$  (respectively 708 ind/l and 154 ind/l). Furthermore, the density of  $T_1$  decreased progressively from  $D_{15}$ , where it reached its peak (413 ind/l). From  $D_0$  to  $D_{27}$ , the daily production, intrinsic increase rate and doubling time of zooplankton population for treatment  $T_2$  were respectively 4.34 ind/l/d ; 0.05 in 24 hours and 13.11 days whereas the ones of  $T_3$  were respectively 24.85 ind/l/d ; 0.10 in 24 hours and 6.34 days.

The analysis of figure 4 showed that the average densities of different zooplankton groups (rotifers, copepods and cladocerans) were higher in treatment  $T_3$  medium. The culturing medium of  $T_3$  were favored the growth of rotifers (333 ± 265 ind/l) which was followed by the copepods (274 ± 179 ind/l) whereas the medium of  $T_2$  were dominated by copepods (227 ± 158 ind/l) and it was followed by the rotifers (150 ± 112 ind/l).



Fig.3. Evolution of total zooplankton average densities of different treatments in function of time





#### 4. Discussion

The average temperature of buckets water was around  $31.07 \pm 0.8^{\circ}$ C during the study time, this temperature is conform to that which permitted the production of high density of rotifers (28 and 32°C) obtained during the freshwater rotifers culture, Brachionus calicyflorus (Park et al., 2001). The pH average value was 6.05 ± 0.51 and slightly fluctuated. It has permitted a good zooplankton development in production medium, as this value was comprised between the one obtained by Kabir et al. (2010) for a good growth of rotifers (6-8). The conductivity and the average concentrations of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> were the highest in treatment medium T<sub>3</sub>, as the latter were periodically fertilized during the experimentation. The periodical application of pig dung has significantly ameliorated the dissolved salts in water and it change the physico-chemical quality of the water (Adedeji et al., 2011). Results of the study were confirmed the positive effect of pig dung over the nutritious quality of water (Akodogbo et al., 2014a).

Progressive decrease in the concentration of chlorophyll *a* was observed in treatment  $T_1$  medium from  $D_{15}$ , was due by the exhaustion of the culture medium, during the time, in nutritious salts (Agadjihouèdé et al., 2010a, 2010b; Akodogbo et al., 2014a). The fall of chlorophyll *a* concentration observed in the treatment medium  $T_2$  from  $D_{12}$  was explained by the dilution of these culture medium by water used for the renewal. On the other hand, the treatment medium  $T_3$ , the amelioration of the chlorophyll a rate and then of the phytoplankton, was due to the periodical supply of fertilizers which liberated permanently nutritious salts required to the phytoplankton development in the production medium. The periodical fertilization has therefore a positive effect on the phytoplankton development. This confirmed that the phytoplankton development of phytoplankton depends on nutritious salts (Schlumberger and Bouretz, 2002; Akodogbo et al., 2014a). The slight fall of chlorophyll a rate towards the end of the experiment in  $T_3$  was also due to the dilution effect.

19

The fall of the zooplankton density in treatment medium  $T_1$  after  $D_{15}$  was explained by the decrease in phytoplankton density, because the zooplankton peak coincided with the phytoplankton one. This proved zooplankton dependence towards phytoplankton which constitutes their food (Seyer, 2002). This reduction of zooplankton density was due to the exhaustion of the fertilizers in nutritious substances required for the phytoplankton development. As in 20 days, the organic matter was completely mineralized in the water (Berard, 1993). This justified a positive correlation between the nutritious salts, phytoplankton population and the zooplankton (Lazzaro and Lacroix, 1995; Akodogbo et al., 2014a). Likewise, the fall of this density on D<sub>15</sub> confirmed by the works of Akodogbo et al. (2014b) which showed that the maintain time of the pig dung optimal dose was 14 days. The zooplankton average densities in treatments T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> haven't fallen after D<sub>15</sub> because of the renewal of their medium. We noticed a regular increase of these densities after the first three stripping (J<sub>21</sub>). That renewal eliminated a part of the pollutants (metabolites) and then avoids the congestion (Orhun et al., 1991; Arimoro, 2006). It is the dilution (Fukusho, 1989b). The improvement of the total zooplanktonic density in treatment  $T_3$  (631  $\pm$  440 ind/l) in relation to T<sub>2</sub> (392  $\pm$  253 ind/l) was due to the phytoplankton higher density in these periodical fertilized medium. The food (phytoplankton) is available for the zooplankton, therefore their good growth. That improvement of the phytoplankton density was due to the periodical fertilization, which broughted nutritious salts further to the mineralization of the pig dung (Akodogbo et al., 2014). That periodical fertilization from  $D_{12}$ increased the zooplanktonic density so as to avoid the sudden death of the population due to the lack of food (Morris and Mischke, 1999; Arimoro, 2005). The partial renewal followed by the periodical fertilization improved the zooplanktonic density which was dominated by the rotifers, live prey for the larvae of most of the fish species (Arimoro, 2006). The adoption of this production technique of zooplankton permitted to get small sized live prey in mass which could be maintained during the larval rearing period, 5-6 days for the catfish larvae (Légendre et al., 1992). It favored then the production of small size live prey for the hatcheries.

#### 5. Conclusion

Plurispecific production of local zooplankton from pig dung could be optimized as renewed medium through the partial renewal followed by the periodical fertilization of the culture medium. The periodical fertilization favors the development of food (phytoplankton) for the zooplankton whereas the renewal avoids the sudden death of the population. The adoption of this production technique in mass of zooplankton permitted to get small sized live prey which could be maintained during the larval rearing period. It favored then the production of adequate live prey (rotifers) for the aquaculture hatcheries and the reduction of production costs.

#### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the University of Abomey-Calavi, BENIN, through OPASISI (Agricultural Production Optimization without Inputs Integrated System) project.

#### References

- Adedeji, A.A., Aduwo, A.I., Aluko, O.A., Awotokun, F., 2011. Effect of chicken droppings as organic fertilizer on water quality and planktonic production in an artificial culture media. Ife J. Sci., 13, 239-250.
- Agadjihouèdé, H., Chikou, A., Bonou, C.A., Lalèyè, P., 2012. Survival and growth of Clarias gariepinus and Heterobranchus longifilis larvae fed with freshwater zooplankton. J. Agr. Sci. Technol., B2, 192-197.
- Agadjihouèdé, H., Bonou, C.A., Lalèyè P., 2010a. Effet de la fertilisation à base des fientes de volaille sur la production du zooplancton en aquarium. Annal. Sci. Agr., 14, 63-75.
- Agadjihouèdé, H., Bonou, C.A., Chikou, A., Lalèyè, P., 2010b. Production comparée de zooplancton en bassins fertilisés avec la fiente de volaille et la bouse de vache. Inter. J. Biolog. Chem. Sci., 4, 432-442.
- Akodogbo, H.H., Bonou, C.A., Fiogbé, E.D., 2014a. Effect of pig dung fertilizer on zooplankton production. J. Appl.Biosc., 84, 7665-7673.
- Akodogbo, H.H., Bonou, C.A., Fiogbé, E.D., 2014b. Recherche de la dose optimale des déjections de porc pour la production plurispécifique du zooplancton. J. Rech. Sci. l'Universite de Lomé (Togo)., 16, 77-86.
- Arimoro, F.O., 2006. Culture of the freshwater rotifer, Brachionus calyciflorus, and its application in fish larviculture technology. African J. Biotechnol., 5, 536-541.

- Arimoro, F.O., 2005. Preliminary investigation into the isolation, culture and suitability of the freshwater rotifer, Brachionus calyciflorus as starter food for the African catfish Heterobranchus longifilis larvae. J. Sci. Ind. Stud., 3, 27-33.
- Awaïss, A., 1992. Possibilité de production et qualité nutritionnelle du rotifère des eaux saumâtres Brachionus plicatilis (O. F. Müller) élevé sur du son de riz dégraissé et micronisé (Text in French). Revue d'Hydrobiolgie Trop., 25, 55-61.
- Awaïss, A., Kestemont, P., 1997. Dynamique de production et qualité nutritive du rotifère d'eau douce Brachionus calyciflorus. Aquat. Liv. Res., 10, 111-120.
- Bérard, A., 1993. Effets d'une fertilisation riche en matières organiques azotées sur les relations trophiques (bactéries, phytoplancton, zooplancton) dans un étang de pisciculture. Thèse, Toulouse, Paris.
- Dagnelie, P., 1984. Théorie et méthodes statistiques. Applications agronomiques, Tome II. Les presses agronomiques de Gembloux, Gembloux.
- Fukusho, K., 1989b. Biology and mass production of the rotifer, Brachionus plicatilis (1). Int. J. Aq. Fish. Technol. 1, 68-76.
- Guiral, D., Arfi, R., Bouvy, M., Pagano, M., Saint-Jean, L., 1994. Ecological organization and succession during natural recolonization of a tropical pond. Hydrobiolog., 294, 229-242.
- James, C.M., Abu-Rcicq, T., Dias, P.A., Salman, A.E., 1986. Production dynarrrics and nutritional quality of the rotifer (Brachionus plicatilis) under different feed reginies. Kuwait Inst. Sci. Res., KISR, 2183.
- Kabir, K.A., Baly, R.L., Hasan, I., Naser, M.D.N., Shahadat, M.D., 2010. High density rotifer culture as live food for larval rearing in Carp hatcheries. World Journal of Zoology 5, 110-114.
- Kestemont, P., Awaïss, A., 1989. Larval rearing of the gudgeon Gobio Gobio L. under optimal conditions of feeding with the rotifer B. plicatilis. Aquacult., 83, 305-318.
- Lazzaro, X., Lacroix, G., 1995. Impact des poissons sur les communautés aquatiques. In : Pourriot R, Meybeck M (Eds.), Limnologie générale, Masson Publisher, Paris, France.
- Legendre, M., Teugels, G.G., Cauty, C., Jalabert, B., 1992. A comparative study on morphology, growth rate and reproduction of Clarias gariepinus (Burchell, 1822), Heterobranchus longifilis Valenciennes, 1840, and their reciprocal hybrids (Pisces, Clariidae). J. Fish Biol., 40, 59-79.
- Morris, J.E., Mischke, C.C., 1999. Plankton management for fish culture ponds. Technical Bulletin n°114, NCRAC Publications Office, Iowa State University, Ames, IA.
- Orhun, M., Johnson, S.R., Kent, D.B., Ford, R.F., 1991. Practical approach to high density production of the rotifer, Brachionus plicatilis, in: Fulks, W., Main, K.L. (Eds), Rotifer and microalgae culture systems: proceedings of a U.S. Asia workshop, Honolulu, Hawaii. Ocean. inst., pp. 73-78
- Park, H.G., Lee, K.W., Cho, S.H., Kim, H.S., Jung, M.M., Kim, H.S., 2001. High density culture of the freshwater rotifer, Brachionus calyciflorus. Hydrobiologia., 446-447, 369-374.
- Pechar, L., 1987. Use of an acetone: methanol mixture for the extraction and spectrophotometric determination of chlorophyll a in phytoplankton. Archiv Fur Hydrobiology Supplement., 78, 99-117.
- Saint-Jean, L., Bonou, C.A., Pagano, M., 1994. Développement et croissance en poids de Moina (cf) micrura et de Mesocyclops ogunnus dans un milieu saumâtre tropical: les étangs de pisciculture de Layo (Côte-d'Ivoire). Revue d'Hydrobiolgie Trop., 24, 287-303.

Saville, D.J., 1990. Multiple comparaison procedures: the pratical solution. American Statistician 44, 174-180.

Schlumberger, O., Bouretz, N., 2002. Réseaux trophiques et production piscicole en étangs fertilisés (Dordogne, France). Rev. Sci. de l'Eau., 15, 177-192.

Seyer, J., 2002. Le chant de l'eau. Production de proies vivantes Brachionus plicatilis et Artemia salina. Ifremer, station Merea.